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Abstract. The ratio of independent random variables arises in many applied problems. In this article, the distribution of the ratio $X/Y$ is studied, when $X$ and $Y$ are independent Rice random variables. Ratios of such random variable have extensive applications in the analysis of noises of communication systems. The exact forms of probability density function (PDF), cumulative distribution function (CDF) and the existing moments have been derived in terms of several special functions. The delta method is used to approximate moments. As a special case, we have obtained the PDF and CDF of the ratio of independent Rayleigh random variables.

1 Introduction

For given random variables $X$ and $Y$, the distribution of the ratio $X/Y$ arises in a wide range of natural phenomena of interest, such as in engineering, hydrology, medicine, number theory, psychology, etc. More specifically, Mendelian inheritance ratios in genetics, mass
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to energy ratios in nuclear physics, target to control precipitation in
meteorology, inventory ratios in economics are exactly of this type.
The distribution of the ratio random variables (RRV), has been ex-
tensively investigated by many authors specially when $X$ and $Y$ are
independent and belong to the same family. Various methods have
been compared and reviewed by [4],[7],[8],[9],[10]. In this paper, we
will derive the exact distribution of $X/Y$ when $X$ and $Y$ are inde-
pendent random variables (RVs) having the Rice distributions with
parameters $(\sigma, \nu)$ and $(\lambda, u)$, respectively.

The Rice distribution is well known and of common use in en-
gineering, specially in signal processing and communication theory.
Some usual situations in which the Rice ratio random variable (RRRV)
appear are as follows. In the case that $X$ and $Y$ represent the random
noises of two signals, studying the distribution of the quotient $|X/Y|
is always of interest. For example in communication theory it may
represent the relative strength of two different signals and in MRI, it
may represent the quality of images. Moreover, because of the impor-
tant concept of moments of RVs as magnitude of power and energy in
physical and engineering sciences, the possible moments of the RRRV
have been also obtained. Some applications of Rice distribution and
ratio RV may be found in [5],[6],[12],[13],[14], and references therein.

If $X$ has a Rice distribution with parameters $(s, r)$, then the PDF
of $X$ is as follows

$$f_X(x) = \frac{x}{s^2} \exp\left\{ -\frac{(x^2 + r^2)}{2s^2} \right\} I_0\left( \frac{rx}{s^2} \right), \quad x > 0, s > 0, r \geq 0,$$

where $x$ is the signal amplitude, $I_0(.)$ is the modified Bessel function
of the first kind of order 0, $2s^2$ is the average fading-scatter com-
ponent and $r^2$ is the line-of-sight (LOS) power component. The Local
Mean Power is defined as $\Omega = 2s^2 + r^2$ which equals $E[X^2]$, and
the Rice factor $K$ of the envelope is defined as the ratio of the signal
power to the scattered power, i.e., $K = r^2/2s^2$. When $K$ goes to
zero, the channel statistic follows Rayleigh’s distribution [5], [6] and
[12], whereas if $K$ goes to infinity, the channel becomes a non-fading
channel.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some notation,
preliminaries and special functions are mentioned. The exact expres-
sions for the PDF and CDF of the RRRV are derived in Section 3.
Section 4 deals with calculating the moments of the ratio random


2 Notation and Preliminaries

In this section, we first recall some special mathematical functions, which will be used repeatedly in the next sections. The modified Bessel function of first kind of order \( \nu \), is

\[
I_\nu(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2^\nu x}\right)^{\nu/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{
\left(\frac{1}{4}x^2\right)^k}{(k!) \Gamma(\nu + k + 1)}.
\]

The generalized hypergeometric function is denoted by

\[
_pF_q\left( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p; b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_q; z \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k (a_2)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k (b_2)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!},
\]

the Gauss hypergeometric function is

\[
2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k (b)_k}{(c)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!},
\]

and the Kummer confluent hypergeometric function is

\[
1F_1(a; b; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{(b)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!},
\]

where \((a)_k\), \((b)_k\) represent Pochhammer’s symbol given by

\[
(a)_k = a(a+1) \cdots (a+k-1).
\]

The parabolic cylinder function is

\[
D_\nu(z) = 2^{\nu/2} e^{-z^2/4} \Psi(-\frac{1}{2^\nu}, 1; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} z^2),
\]

where \(\Psi(a, c; z)\) represents the confluent hypergeometric function given by

\[
\Psi(a, c; z) = \Gamma \left[ \frac{1-c}{1+a-c} \right] 1F_1(a; c; z)
+ \Gamma \left[ \frac{c-1}{a} \right] 2^{1-c} 1F_1(1+a-c; 2-c; z),
\]
in which
\[
\Gamma \left[ \begin{array}{c}
a_1, \ldots, a_m \\
b_1, \ldots, b_n
\end{array} \right] = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \Gamma(a_i) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma(b_j).
\]

A well-known representation for the CDF of Rice random variable is as
\[
F_X(x) = 1 - Q_1(r/s, x/s),
\]
where \(Q_M(\alpha, \beta)\) is defined by
\[
Q_M(\alpha, \beta) = e^{-\left(\alpha^2 + \beta^2\right)/2} \sum_{k=1-M}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^k}{k!} I_k(\alpha, \beta),
\]
and \(I_k(.)\) denotes the modified Bessel function of first kind of order \(k\). Also we have
\[
E(X^k) = (2s^2)^{k/2} e^{-r^2/2s^2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1+k}{2}\right) \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)} \left[ \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2} \right]^{k/2} F_1\left(-\frac{k}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}; \nu + 1; \frac{r^2}{2s^2}\right). \tag{1}
\]

The following lemmas are of frequent use.

**Lemma 2.1.** (Equation(2.15.20.7), [11], vol. 2). For \(Re(p) > 0, Re(\alpha + \mu + \nu) > 0\),
\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-px^2} I_\mu(bx) I_\nu(cx) dx = \frac{b^\mu c^\nu}{2^{\mu+\nu+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\nu + 1\right)} \left[ \frac{k + (\alpha + \mu + \nu)/2}{\mu + k + 1} \right] \frac{1}{k!} \times \left(\frac{b}{2p}\right)^2 F_1(-k, -\mu - k; \nu + 1; \frac{c^2}{b^2}).
\]

**Lemma 2.2.** (Equation(2.15.5.4), [11], vol. 2). For \(Re(p) > 0, Re(\alpha + \nu) > 0; |arg c| < \pi\),
\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-px^2} I_\nu(cx) dx = \frac{2^{-\nu-1} c^\nu}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+\nu}{2}\right)} \left[ \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+\nu}{2}\right)}{\nu + 1} \right] \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+\nu}{2}\right)} \times F_1\left(-\frac{\alpha+\nu}{2}, \nu + 1; \frac{c^2}{4p}\right).
\]
Lemma 2.3. (Equation (2.21.1.15), [11], vol. 3). For $\Re(\alpha) > 0$, $\Re(a - \alpha + \rho) > 0$, $\Re(b - \alpha + \rho) > 0$, $|\arg \omega| < \pi$, $|\arg z| < \pi$,

$$
\int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{(x+z)^\rho} 2F_1(a, b, c; -\omega x) \, dx = \\
\omega^\rho \beta(\alpha, \rho - \alpha) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{\nu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)^k}{k!} \times \\
3F_2(a - \alpha + \rho, b - \alpha + \rho, c - \alpha + \rho, \rho - \alpha + 1; \omega z).
$$


### 3 The Ratio of Rice Random Variables

In this section, the explicit expressions for the CDF and PDF of $X/Y$ are derived in terms of the Gauss hypergeometric function. The ratio of Rayleigh RVs is also considered as a special case.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that $X$ and $Y$ are independent Rice random variables with parameters $(\sigma, \nu)$ and $(\lambda, u)$, respectively. The CDF of the ratio random variable $T = X/Y$ is

$$
F_T(t) = 1 - \left\{ \frac{\sigma^2 e^{-\frac{x^2+u^2}{2\sigma^2}}}{t^2 \lambda^2 + \sigma^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{\nu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)^k}{k!} \times \right. \\
\left. \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{u^2}{t^2 \lambda^2 + \sigma^2}\right)^{2j} 2F_1\left(-j, -j; k + 1; \frac{\nu^2 \lambda^4}{u^2 \sigma^2}\right) \right\}. \quad (2)
$$

**Proof.** The CDF, $F_T(t)$ can be expressed as

$$
F_T(t) = Pr(X/Y \leq t) = \int_0^{\infty} F_X(ty)f_Y(y) \, dy = \int_0^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - Q_1(\nu/\sigma, ty/\sigma) \right\} \frac{y}{\lambda^2} e^{-(y^2+u^2)/2\lambda^2} I_0\left(\frac{yu}{\lambda}\right) \, dy. \quad (3)
$$
Substituting $Q_1(\nu/\sigma, ty/\sigma)$ in (3), gives

$$F_T(t) = 1 - \left\{ e^{-\left(\frac{\nu^2 + u^2}{2\sigma^2 + \lambda^2}\right)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\nu}{t}\right)^k \left[ \int_0^\infty y^{-k+1} e^{-\left(\frac{\nu^2 + u^2}{2\sigma^2 + \lambda^2}\right)} I_0\left(\frac{u}{\lambda^2 y}\right) I_k\left(\frac{\nu}{\sigma^2 y}\right) dy \right] \right\}.$$ (4)

Thus we get (2) by using Lemma 2.1 in (4). \(\Box\)

**Corollary 3.2.** Suppose that $X$ and $Y$ are independent Rayleigh random variables with parameters $\sigma$ and $\lambda$, respectively. The CDF of the ratio random variable $T = X/Y$ can be expressed as

$$F_T(t) = 1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{t^2 \lambda^2 + \sigma^2}, \quad t \geq 0.$$ 

**Proof.** Take $\nu = u = 0$ in (2). \(\Box\)

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose that $X$ and $Y$ are independent Rice random variables with parameters $(\sigma, \nu)$ and $(\lambda, u)$, respectively. The PDF of the ratio random variable $T = X/Y$ is

$$f_T(t) = \frac{2t\sigma^2\lambda^2 e^{-\left(\frac{\nu^2 + u^2}{2\sigma^2 + \lambda^2}\right)}}{(t^2 \lambda^2 + \sigma^2)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k + 1}{k!} \left(\frac{u\sigma^2}{t^2 \lambda^2 + \sigma^2}\right)^{2k} \text{ _2F}_1\left(-k, -k; 1; \frac{\nu^2 t^2 \lambda^4}{u^2 \sigma^4}\right).$$ (5)

**Proof.** The PDF $f_T(t) = \int_0^\infty y f_X(ty) f_Y(y) dy$ can be written as

$$f_T(t) = \int_0^\infty y \frac{ty}{\sigma^2} e^{-\left(\frac{\nu^2 + u^2}{2\sigma^2 + \lambda^2}\right)} I_0\left(\frac{ty}{\sigma^2}\right) \frac{\nu^2 + u^2}{2\lambda^2} I_0\left(\frac{u}{\lambda^2}\right) dy.$$ 

The result now follows by using the Lemma 2.1. \(\Box\)

**Corollary 3.4.** Suppose that $X$ and $Y$ are independent Rayleigh random variables with parameters $\sigma$ and $\lambda$, respectively. The PDF of the ratio random variable $T = X/Y$ can be expressed as

$$f_T(t) = \frac{2t\sigma^2\lambda^2}{(t^2 \lambda^2 + \sigma^2)^2}, \quad t \geq 0.$$
Proof. The result immediately follows by taking \( \nu = u = 0 \) in (5).

\[ \square \]

Remark 3.5. One may suggest to use the theory of transformation to obtain the distribution of \( T \). But this approach leads to the same results which are given in this article. For instance, if we define

\[ T = \frac{X}{Y}, \quad V = Y \]

and work through the jacobian, then the PDF of \( T \) will be obtained from the integral \( f_T(t) = \int_0^\infty v f_X(tv)f_Y(v)dv \). Solving this integral also needs to use the special functions and gives (5).

\[ \square \]

4 Moments of the Ratio Random Variable

In the sequel, we shall use the independence of \( X \) and \( Y \) several times for computing the moments of the ratio random variable. The results obtained are expressed in terms of confluent hypergeometric functions. The delta method has also been used for approximation and simple representations of the moments.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that \( X \) and \( Y \) are Rice random variables with parameters \( (\sigma, \nu) \) and \( (\lambda, u) \), respectively. A representation for the \( k \)-th moment of the ratio random variable \( T = X/Y \), for \(-2 < k < 2\), is as follows

\[ E(T^k) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\lambda^2} e^{-\frac{\nu^2 \lambda^2 + \sigma^2}{2\sigma^2 \lambda^2}} \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{j + 1}{j!} \left( \frac{u \sigma^2}{\lambda^2} \right)^{2j} \]

\[ \times \left\{ \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{\lambda^2} \right)^{k/2 - 2j - 1} B(1 + \frac{k}{2}, 2j - \frac{k}{2} + 1) \right\} \]

\[ \times \frac{3F_2}{3} \left( -j, -j; 1 + \frac{k}{2}; 1; \frac{k}{2} - 2j; -\frac{\nu^2 \lambda^2}{u^2 \sigma^2} \right) \]

\[ + \left( \frac{-\nu^2 \lambda^2}{u^2 \sigma^4} \right)^{2j - \frac{k}{2} + 1} \left[ 1, j - \frac{k}{2} + 1, j - \frac{k}{2} + 1, \frac{k}{2} - 2j - 1 \right] \]

\[ \Gamma \left[ -j, -j, 2j - \frac{k}{2} + 2 \right] \]

\[ \times \frac{3F_2}{3} \left( j - \frac{k}{2} + 1, j - \frac{k}{2} + 1, 2j + 2; 2j - \frac{k}{2} + 1, 2j - \frac{k}{2} + 2; -\frac{\nu^2 \lambda^2}{u^2 \sigma^2} \right) \} \]
Proof. By definition
\[
E(T^k) = \int_0^\infty t^k f_T(t)\,dt
\]
\[
= 2\sigma^2\lambda^2 e^{-\frac{\nu^2 + u^2}{2\sigma^2\lambda^2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{j + 1}{j!} (u\sigma^2)^{2j}
\]
\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{t^{k+1}}{(t^2\lambda^2 + \sigma^2)^{2j+2}} \mathcal{I}_1(-j, -j; 1; \frac{\nu^2\lambda^4}{u^2\sigma^4}) \,dt.
\]
Now, the desired result follows by using Lemma 2.3. \(\square\)

In the following theorem, we give an alternative representation for \(E(T^k)\), which is easier to handle than (6).

**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose that \(X\) and \(Y\) are independent Rice random variables with parameters \((\sigma, \nu)\) and \((\lambda, u)\), respectively. A representation for the \(k\)-th moment of the ratio random variable \(T = X/Y\), \(-2 < k < 2\), can be expressed by
\[
E(T^k) = \left(\frac{\sigma}{\lambda}\right)^k \Gamma\left(\frac{2+k}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2-k}{2}\right) e^{-\frac{\nu^2 + u^2}{2\sigma^2\lambda^2}}
\]
\[
1F_1\left(\frac{2+k}{2}; 1; \frac{\nu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) 1F_1\left(\frac{2-k}{2}; 1; \frac{u^2}{2\lambda^2}\right).
\]

(7)

**Proof.** Using the independency of \(X\) and \(Y\), the expected ratio can be written as
\[
E(T^k) = E\left(\frac{X^k}{Y^k}\right) = E\left(X^k\right) E\left(\frac{1}{Y^k}\right),
\]
in which
\[
E\left(\frac{1}{Y^k}\right) = \int_0^\infty \frac{y}{y^k} e^{\frac{-y^2 + u^2}{2\lambda^2}} exp \left\{ -\frac{(y^2 + u^2)}{2\lambda^2} \right\} I_0 \left(\frac{uy}{\lambda^2}\right) \,dy.
\]
(8)

By using Lemma 2.2, the integral (8) reduces to
\[
E\left(\frac{1}{Y^k}\right) = e^{-\frac{u^2}{2\lambda^2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{-k + 2}{2}\right) 1F_1\left(\frac{-k + 2}{2}; 1; \frac{u^2}{2\lambda^2}\right).
\]
(9)

The desired result now follows by multiplying (1) and (9). \(\square\)
**Remark 4.3.** Formulas (6) and (7), display the exact forms for calculating $E(T)$, which have been expressed in terms of confluent hypergeometric functions. Indeed, as suggested by the referee(s) we can use the delta-method to approximate the first and second moments of the ratio $T = X/Y$. In details, by taking $\mu_X = E(X)$, $\mu_Y = E(Y)$, and following example 5.5.27, pages 244-245 in [1],

$$E(T) \approx \frac{\mu_X}{\mu_Y} = \frac{1}{1} F_1 \left( \frac{3}{2}, 1; \frac{\mu_X^2}{\sigma^2} \right) \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{\lambda} \right) e^{\frac{u^2}{2\lambda^2} - \frac{\nu^2}{2\sigma^2}}.$$

For approximating $Var(T)$, first we recall that $E[X^2] = 2\sigma^2 + \nu^2$ and $E[Y^2] = 2\lambda^2 + u^2$. Now,

$$Var \left( \frac{X}{Y} \right) \approx \left( \frac{\mu_X^2}{\mu_Y^2} \right) \left( \frac{Var(X)}{\mu_X^2} + \frac{Var(Y)}{\mu_Y^2} \right),$$

which involves confluent hypergeometric functions, but in simpler forms. □

**Remark 4.4.** The numerical computation of the obtained results in this paper entails calculation of the special functions, their sums and integrals, which have been tabulated and available in determined books and computer algebra packages, see [2],[3],[11] for more details.
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